Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/618,876	ROSENFLANZ	, ANATOLY Z.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael A. Marcheschi	1755	
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:	
(1) Michael A. Marcheschi.	(3)		
(2) Gran Allen	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 December 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: rejection for claim 74 (indefinite)			•
Claims discussed: 74			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE examiners amendment	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	· .
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seguine directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seguine did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief seguine did not result in resolution of all issues.</li> </ul>	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of of the interview, since	the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if app	ropriate)